

1 **Important Information for Tentative Rulings and Hearings:**

- 2
- 3 1. Please review and follow the Tentative Ruling Instructions which can be found on the Court’s website
- 4 using the following link: <https://sf.courts.ca.gov/divisions/unified-family-court/ufc-tentative-rulings>.
- 5 2. If you wish to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your case, you must notify the other party
- 6 (unless there is a restraining order in place) and the Court Clerk in the Department where the hearing
- 7 is scheduled of your objection by 4:00 PM the Court day prior to the hearing date. Court days do not
- 8 include Court holidays, Saturdays, or Sundays. The Court’s Holiday Schedule can be found on the
- 9 Court’s website using the following link: [https://sf.courts.ca.gov/general-information/holiday-](https://sf.courts.ca.gov/general-information/holiday-schedules)
- 10 [schedules](https://sf.courts.ca.gov/general-information/holiday-schedules).
- 11 3. To contact the Court Clerk in Dept. 403 to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your
- 12 case, please call (415) 551–3741 or send an email to [Department403@sftc.org](mailto:Department403@sftc.org).
- 13 4. To contact the Court Clerk in Dept. 404 to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your
- 14 case, please call (415) 551–3744 or send an email to [Department404@sftc.org](mailto:Department404@sftc.org).
- 15 5. When you contact the Court Clerk to make an objection to the Tentative Ruling in your case, please
- 16 specify the paragraph(s) and / or line number(s) of the Tentative Ruling which contains the language
- 17 to which you object.
- 18 6. You may appear at your hearing either (a) in-person; (b) by video; or (c) by phone. Pursuant to SFLR
- 19 11.7(D)(4), if you choose to appear by video or phone, you must be continuously connected to Zoom
- 20 from 8:50 a.m. until 12:00 p.m. or until your hearing is concluded. If you fail to appear in-person, by
- 21 video, or phone, the Court may proceed with the hearing in your absence. The Court is not required to
- 22 contact you before your hearing.
- 23 7. If you choose to appear by video or by phone, you must comply with the Notice and Instructions for
- 24 Remote Appearances in San Francisco Family Court set forth below.
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

**SAN FRANCISCO SUPERIOR COURT  
UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
NOTICE AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR REMOTE APPEARANCES**

You may appear at your court hearing either (1) in-person or (2) remotely by video or telephone. If you fail to appear in-person or remotely by video or telephone, the court may proceed with the hearing in your absence. *The clerk will NOT contact you.* Remote appearances by video or telephone can be made utilizing the **ZOOM** platform, **effective January 2, 2024:**

- If you are *joining by video*, go to [www.zoom.com/join](http://www.zoom.com/join) and follow the instructions below:
  - Type in the Meeting ID (*see below for department Meeting IDs and Passcodes*) and click "Join".
  - Click "Launch Meeting" then "Open zoom.us".
  - Zoom will launch and you will be asked for the Meeting Passcode. *Enter the passcode for your Meeting ID for the respective department for your court hearing.*
  - Enable your camera and click "Join".
  - Once you join, a prompt to use computer audio will appear, click "Join with Computer Audio".
  - ***Enter your full first and last name TO IDENTIFY YOURSELF TO THE COURT.***
  - Using headphones may help you hear more clearly.
  
- If you are *joining by phone*, dial 1-(669)254-5252 or 1-(669)216-1590 and enter the Meeting ID and Passcode as described below.

**Department 403  
Meeting ID: 161 463 0304  
Passcode: 114482**

You can also log into your hearing **directly** using the link below:  
<https://sftc-org.zoomgov.com/j/1614630304?pwd=OTZlcVZaQIRYWXpFQ2hTaEFuZnhIZz09>

**Department 404  
Meeting ID: 161 305 3325  
Passcode: 282709**

You can also log into your hearing **directly** using the link below:  
<https://sftc-org.zoomgov.com/j/1613053325?pwd=SkdXWGVkQkowckJSNnJwSSttYkR6dz09>

When you join the hearing on Zoom:

1. **You are to mute your audio when you are not speaking.**
2. State your name before you speak for proper identification to the court and for all the parties in your case. Only one person **MUST** speak at a time.

**PROHIBITION ON RECORDING:** **Do not record the hearing in any way.** Any recording of a court proceeding, *including screen shots, other visual or audio copying* of the hearing, is **prohibited**. Any violation is punishable to the fullest extent under the law, including but not limited to monetary sanctions up to \$1,000, restricted entry to future hearings, or other sanctions deemed appropriate by the court. For more information

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 BENNETT JASON PINTO CANTO,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 GENOVEVA ALVAREZ-ARROYO,

10 Respondent

) Case Number: FPT-22-377990

) Hearing Date: April 9, 2024

) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

) Department: 403

) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
11 )

12 REQUEST FOR ORDER [X] REUNIFICATOIN THERAPY

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 This matter is not properly on calendar. The parties are required to attend mediation. The parties are  
15 ordered to participate in Family Court Services mediation with Venecia Margarita on 5/2/2024 at  
16 9:00AM. In the event the parties are unable to reach agreement at mediation the matter will be heard on  
17 July 30, 2024 in Dept. 403 at 9:00 a.m. Both parties shall file and serve update declarations ten days in  
18 advance of the hearing. Mother shall provide an update as to the status of the minor child's therapy  
19 designed to focus upon reconnection with Father.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 REBECCA KIMEE-RENAE SCOTT,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 JOEL BRADFORD SCOTT,

10 Respondent

) Case Number: FDI-12-777216

) Hearing Date: April 9, 2024

) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

) Department: 403

) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
11 )

12 REQUEST FOR ORDER FOR CHANGE OF CHANGE OF VISITATION (PARENTING TIME),  
13 ORDER SHORTENING TIME

14 **TENTATIVE RULING**

15 This matter is off calendar in Dept. 403. The matter is now pending in Dependency Court, matter number  
16 JD24-3045, Dept. 405, pending further orders from Dept. 405.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 JABARI MAGNUS,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 DIVALI MAGNUS,

10 Respondent

) Case Number: FDI-17-788961

) Hearing Date: April 9, 2024

) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

) Department: 403

) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
11 )

12 REQUEST FOR ORDER : THE EDUCATIONAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE MINOR CHILDREN  
13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 **A. Procedural History**

- 15 1. On for hearing is Father's 2/22/2024 Request for Order for the Court to maintain the 6/27/2020  
16 ruling with regard to tuition expenses with Father paying for 60% and Mother paying 40% of the tuition  
17 costs.
- 18 2. On 2/22/2024, the Court issued an ex parte order stating that the allocation of tuition was  
19 advanced to 4/25/2024. The matter was then advanced to 4/9/2024 by the agreement of the parties.

20 **B. Findings and Orders**

- 21 1. The matter is continued on the Court's own motion to 4/25/2024 at 9:00AM in Dept. 403.  
22 2. The Court will prepare the Order.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 PETER H BALES, )  
7 Petitioner ) Case Number: FDI-18-790060  
8 VS. ) Hearing Date: April 9, 2024  
9 DANIELLE L BALES, ) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM  
10 Respondent ) Department: 403  
11 ) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
12 )

12 ADDITIONAL VISITS

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the  
15 Court makes the following findings and orders:

16 **-Custody/Visitation**

17 There are no custody or visitation issues to be heard on April 9, 2024. The review hearing was originally  
18 scheduled for this date in the tentative ruling for November 16, 2023. However, the parties apparently  
19 entered into a Stipulation on December 20, 2024, but the Stipulation was never presented to the Court nor  
20 filed with the Court. No update declarations regarding custody or visitation were filed for the April 9,  
21 2024 hearing. The record should also reflect that counsel for Mother failed to file Findings and Orders  
22 after Hearing from the November 16, 2023 hearing and from the January 16, 2024 hearing.

23 **-Support**

24 **A. Procedural History**

25 1. On for hearing is Mother's 4/21/2023 RFO to change the 1/10/2023 support order from \$213 per  
26 month, to \$0 per month. The matter was to be heard on 7/6/2023, but was continued by the Court to  
27 8/24/2023. The parties then agreed to continue the matter again to 11/16/2023. At the hearing on  
28 11/16/2023, the Court held in part, that effective 1/1/2024, Mother shall pay Father \$0 per month in base  
29 guideline child support commencing 1/1/2024, and her request for modification of support order for

1 4/2023 through 12/2023, would be addressed at the 4/9/2024 review hearing if the matter could not be  
2 resolved in mediation, and Mother was ordered to file and updated income and expense declaration which  
3 includes her 2023 profit and loss statement, a statement of support calculation for 2023, and a succinct  
4 update declaration in support of her request to modify child support to \$0 for the months of April through  
5 December of 2023, 10 days in advance of the next hearing date. Father was ordered to file and updated  
6 income and expense declaration for 2023, a statement of support calculations for 2023, and a succinct  
7 update declaration regarding Mother's request to modify child support to \$0 for the months of April  
8 through December of 2023, 10 days in advance of the next hearing date.

9 2. The parties' documents were to be filed with the Court by 3/30/2024.

10 3. On 3/29/2024 Father filed an update declaration with several requests that are beyond the scope  
11 of Mother's RFO. Father failed to provide a succinct update declaration regarding Mother's request to  
12 modify child support to \$0 for the months of April through December.

13 4. On 4/2/2024, Mother belatedly filed her declaration, statement of support calculations and income  
14 and expense declaration.

15 5. On 4/5/2024, Father filed a Supplemental Declaration requesting the Court deny Mother's RFO  
16 due to her late filing or in the alternative, to continue the matter.

## 17 **B. Findings and Orders**

18 1. The matter is continued to 5/21/2024 at 9:00AM in Dept. 403 due to the late filing. The parties  
19 are ordered to meet and confer by 4/30/2024 to try and come to an resolution about Mother's request to  
20 reduce the support amount to \$0 for the months of April 2023- December 2023. If the parties are unable  
21 to come to an agreement, they shall return to court on 5/21/2024. No additional filing is permitted.

22 2. Counsel for Mother shall prepare the order.

23 3. **Preparation of Order:** If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing – within  
24 10 calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other party/counsel  
25 for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the other  
26 party did not appear or the matter was uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing directly to the  
27 court. Failure to submit the order after hearing within 10 days may allow the other party to prepare a  
28 proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(d).

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 CEDRIC G AKBAR,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 CAROLYN ELIZABETH AKBAR,

10 Respondent

) Case Number: FDI-18-790726

) Hearing Date: April 9, 2024

) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

) Department: 403

) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
11 )

12 REQUEST FOR ORDER OTHER: ENFORCEMENT OF SPOUSAL SUPPORT ORDER

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the  
15 Court makes the following findings and orders:

16 **A. Procedural History**

17 1. On for hearing is Respondent's 2/22/2024 Request for Order for determination of arrears and an  
18 earnings assignment order. Respondent states Petitioner has failed to pay spousal support as ordered in  
19 the 11/13/2023 judgment.

20 2. On 3/19/2024, Respondent filed a proof of unsuccessful service.

21 **B. Findings and Orders**

22 1. The matter is continued to 6/11/2024 at 9:00AM for Respondent to effectuate service.

23 2. The Court will prepare the order.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29



1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 WILLIAM D ROSS,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 STEPHEN R CROW,

10 Respondent

) Case Number: FDI-20-794096

) Hearing Date: April 9, 2024

) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

) Department: 403

) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
11 )

12 REQUEST FOR ORDER TO ENFORCE JUDGMENT OTHER ORDERS REQUESTED : SEE #8

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the  
15 Court makes the following findings and orders:

16 **A. Procedural History**

17 1. On for hearing is Respondent's 1/19/2023 Request for Order for a) enforcement of the 8/4/2023  
18 judgment, b) the marital residence to be listed for sale immediately, c) Petitioner to pay him \$49,000 for  
19 rent owed from July 2021 through February 2023 from Petitioner's share of sale of home, d) Petitioner to  
20 pay him \$1,331.50 for March 2023 through the time the home is sold, from Petitioner's share of sale of  
21 home, e) Katie Fones to be appointed as the realtor to list the marital home for sale, f) Petitioner to  
22 cooperate in signing the listing agreement and all other necessary documents to effectuate the sale of the  
23 home, g) an Elisor to sign all documents on behalf of Petitioner should Petitioner refuse to cooperate, and  
24 h) sanctions against Petitioner in the amount of \$2,660.

25 2. On 3/27/2024 Petitioner filed a Responsive Declaration stating he has been responsive to  
26 Petitioner's requests and the parties are working toward selecting an agent to sell the house, that he has no  
27 money to pay the amounts ordered until the house is sold or until the QDROs are prepared and he has  
28 access to retirement funds, and requesting that the court not order a realtor to sell the home and for  
29 Petitioner be sanctioned \$350.

1 3. Respondent failed to timely file a Reply.

2 **B. Findings and Orders**

3 1. The parties are to meet and confer by 4/16/2024, to select a realtor to sell the marital home. If the  
4 parties cannot come to an agreement, each party shall provide a list of three real estate agent names to the  
5 court by 4/19/2024 at 5pm, and the Court will select the real estate agent who will sell the marital home.  
6 The parties shall then return to Court on 4/30/2024 at 9:00AM for the Court to inform them of which  
7 agent was selected to sell the home. The home is to be listed for sale no later than 5/7/2024.

8 2. Respondent's request for Petitioner to pay him \$49,000 for rent owed from July 2021 through  
9 February 2023, from Petitioner's share of sale of home, is granted. The money shall be placed in an  
10 escrow account following the sale of the home and distributed forthwith to Respondent.

11 3. Respondent's request for Petitioner to pay him \$1,331.50 monthly for March 2023 through the  
12 time the home is sold from Petitioner's share of sale of home, is granted. The months of March and April  
13 2023 are for Watts charges and the additional months are for rent. The money shall be placed in an  
14 escrow account following the sale of the home and distributed forthwith to Respondent.

15 4. All Respondent's other requests are denied.

16 5. Should the Petitioner fail to comply with signing the documents to effectuate the sale of the  
17 home, the Court will appoint an Elisor.

18 6. Counsel for Respondent shall prepare the order.

19 7. **Preparation of Order:** If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing – within  
20 10 calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other party/counsel  
21 for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the other  
22 party did not appear or the matter was uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing directly to the  
23 court. Failure to submit the order after hearing within 10 days may allow the other party to prepare a  
24 proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(d).

25  
26  
27  
28  
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 TALIA FELLAH MEULEAU, )  
7 Petitioner ) Case Number: FDI-21-795693  
8 VS. ) Hearing Date: April 9, 2024  
9 NICOLAS MEULEAU, ) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM  
10 Respondent ) Department: 403  
11 ) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
12 )

13 AMENDED REQUEST FOR ORDER OF AMENDED REQUEST FOR ORDER RE: CHILD  
14 CUSTODY AND VISITATION CHILD CUSTODY, VISITATION (PARENTING TIME)

15 **TENTATIVE RULING**

16 **Appearances required. The parties may appear in-person, by video, or by phone. If a party chooses**  
17 **to appear by video or by phone, that party must abide by the Notice and Instructions for Remote**  
18 **Appearances in San Francisco Family Court set forth above.**

- 19 1. This matter is on calendar for Respondent Father’s Amended Request For Order for Child  
20 Custody and Visitation (Parenting Time) regarding the minor children, L.M. (DOB: 4.8.08) and A.M.  
(DOB: 7.7.14). Father requests joint legal and joint physical custody. There are no current custody orders.
- 21 2. Father requests a detailed order regarding visitation with him in France as well as time in San  
22 Francisco.
- 23 3. On March 26, 2024 Mother filed her responsive declaration. Mother proposes to have sole legal  
24 and physical custody of the children and proposes alternative visitation schedules.
- 25 4. The Court orders joint legal and physical custody as in the best interest of the children.
- 26 5. The Court will order a Tier 2 interview of L.M.
- 27 6. The parties are to return to FCS mediation following receipt of the Tier 2.
- 28 7. The Court will set a review hearing to review the Tier 2 report. If the parties are able to come to a  
29 Stipulation and Order the review hearing can come off calendar.

1 8. Appearances required.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 DAIANA CHERHYNETS,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 FAROOQ IMAM,

10 Respondent  
11

) Case Number: FDI-22-796786

) Hearing Date: April 9, 2024

) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

) Department: 403

) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
12

13 PROGRESS REVIEW OF ANGER MANAGEMENT COUNSELLING AND VISITATION  
14 SCHEDULE (SEE ROAH FILED MARCH 15, 2023)

15 **TENTATIVE RULING**

16 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the  
17 Court makes the following findings and orders:

18 **This Court has jurisdiction to make child custody orders in this case under the Uniform Child  
19 Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act.**

20 1. A Restraining order after hearing protecting petitioner and the minor child, David was issued in  
21 this matter on March 15, 2023. Mother has sole legal and physical custody of the minor child.

22 2. The matter is on for a review hearing regarding visitation. Respondent was ordered to submit to  
23 court a declaration that addresses healing and how he's learned from the programs he attended in response  
24 to the orders issued on March 1, 2023.

25  
26 3. On March 1, 2024 Father filed his update declaration. He addresses the lessons he has learned and  
27 how he composes himself by counting one to ten rather than getting provoked. He notes that Mother came  
28 to a birthday party arranged by Father for David (DOB:9.20.15) that took place on September 26, 2023.  
29

1 She also came to visit Father in the hospital in September 2023. Father does not believe Mother felt  
2 threatened by him at those times.

3  
4 4. Father states his conduct relative to the DVRO was a “lapse in judgment” on his part. He states he  
5 has complied with the DVRO and not contacted Mother other than in matters involving their son. Father  
6 adds that David is comfortable with Father.

7  
8 5. Father requests 50/50 custody at this time. Father requests minimally the Court to add two hours  
9 to his time given much of the time is spent doing drop off and pick ups from Mother’s residence.

10  
11 6. On April 2, 2024 Mother filed her declaration. Mother asserts that Father still does not appreciate  
12 the extent to which he harmed David by the “very terrifying threat to kill” David that Judge Darwin heard  
13 as recorded. She also states that Father’s statement that she visited him in the hospital is a  
14 misrepresentation: she delivered David to visit Father at Father’s request and she left immediately.  
15 Likewise, the statement regarding attending David’s birthday party was inaccurate. She brought David to  
16 the party and only stayed to allow Father to leave to pick up food. She left as soon as he returned.

17  
18 7. Mother offers to increase Sunday visitation from 10:00 a.m. until 7:00 p.m., p.m., an increase of  
19 three hours visitation. She also states Father periodically does not use all of his visitation time but brings  
20 David back earlier than the full visitation awarded Father.

21  
22 8. Father’s parenting time shall be Wednesday and Friday visitation from 4:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. and  
23 Sunday 10:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.

24  
25 9. The parties are also ordered to contact Family Court Services to schedule and participate in FCS  
26 mediation regarding a step up on visitation.

27  
28 10. The Court will set a review hearing for purposes of reviewing the status of visitation and any  
29 additional steps Father has taken or insight he has into the “root cause” of his conduct that resulted in the

1 DVRO. It is recommended Father participate in personal therapy regarding his anger management to help  
2 address Mother's concerns and the Court's concerns that Father truly appreciates the importance of  
3 finding the root cause. The Court will consider a further step up in visitation at the next hearing.  
4

5 11. The review hearing will take place on August 13, 2024 at 9:00 a.m. in Dept 403. Both parties are  
6 to file and serve update declarations at least ten days in advance of the hearing.  
7

8 12. The Court notes that Counsel for Mother was ordered to prepare the FOAH on December 16,  
9 2024 as ordered by the Court. No order has been received.  
10

11 13. The Court admonishes counsel and orders counsel for Mother to prepare the December 16, 2024  
12 FOAH.  
13

14 14. All prior orders not inconsistent with this order shall remain in full force and effect.  
15

16 15. Counsel for Mother will prepare the order.  
17

18 16. **Preparation of Order:** If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing – within  
19 10 calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other party/counsel  
20 for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the other  
21 party did not appear or the matter was uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing directly to the  
22 court. Failure to submit the order after hearing within 10 days may allow the other party to prepare a  
23 proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(d).  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT  
4

5  
6 MU MU AUNG,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 NAING MIN SOE,

10 Respondent

) Case Number: FDV-23-816753

) Hearing Date: April 9, 2024

) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

) Department: 403

) Presiding: RUSSELL S. ROECA  
11 )

12 OTHER REVIEW HEARING

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 **Appearances required. The parties may appear in-person, by video, or by phone. If a party chooses**  
15 **to appear by video or by phone, that party must abide by the Notice and Instructions for Remote**  
16 **Appearances in San Francisco Family Court set forth above.**

17  
18 **This Court has jurisdiction to make child custody orders in this case under the Uniform Child**  
19 **Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act.**

20 1. This matter is on for review of Respondent Father's visitation with minor child Skylar (DOB:  
21 11.21.19) following the entry of a domestic violence restraining order filed June 14, 2023. Mother has  
22 sole legal and sole physical custody of the minor daughter. As part of the restraining order Father was  
23 granted visitation with the minor child at the daycare center one hour every school day at the childcare  
24 center. It was strictly limited to on-site visitation.

25  
26 2. Following the review hearing on September 14, 2023, Father's visitation increased to include  
27 visits every Sunday from 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. This is the current visitation schedule after a review  
28 hearing held on December 5, 2023.  
29



1 3. On March 29, 2024, Father filed his update declaration. Father requests the court to consolidate  
2 FDV-23-816753 with FMS-23-3874. The Court orders the two matters consolidated and the lead case is  
3 FMS-23-3874. All future pleadings and filings shall be filed in the lead case, FMS-23-3874.

4  
5 4. The Court notes there is also a third matter, FCS-24-356722. The docket indicates Father has  
6 been served.

7  
8 5. Father requests modification of the current visitation to the following:

9  
10 a. Overnight visit with Father and Skylar on alternating weekends, with pick up on Saturday after 5  
11 pm and drop off on Sunday at 12 pm at Mother's residence.

12 b. On alternating Sundays, parenting time with Father and Skylar from 10 am to 4 pm.

13 6. Father reports that visitation has been going very well.

14  
15 7. On April 4, 2024, Petitioner Mother filed her update declaration. Mother states that she is still  
16 receiving Father's mail despite the Court's order that Father was ordered to contact the institutions that  
17 were sending mail to Mother. Mother provided a list of the entities from whom she has received mail  
18 intended to be sent to Father.

19  
20 8. Father is ordered to contact each of the entities in writing requesting that each cease using  
21 Mother's address and provide the entities with his current address. Father shall provide the Court with  
22 copies of the communication to each entity.

23  
24 9. Mother also states that she was told by a third person that Father told this third person that Father  
25 put a tracking device on Mother's car. Father is to address this allegation at the hearing.

26  
27 10. Mother requests Father's visitation be suspended at this time based upon the foregoing.  
28 Alternatively, she requests that Father be given two additional hours on Sunday, from 10 a.m. until 4:00

29

1 p.m. Mother objects to overnight visits at this time given her concern that Father lives with four male  
2 roommates.

3

4 11. Mother requests no more review hearings.

5

6 12. Appearances required.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29